

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

SCOTT A. PERINO,)	Case No.: C04-2151-RSM-JPD
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
)	APPLICATION TO PROCEED
ROBERT COLE, et al.,)	<i>IN FORMA PAUPERIS</i>
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	


This matter comes before the Court upon plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), Dkt. No. 69, in an appeal of a dismissal of the above-captioned civil case. Dkt. Nos. 62, 63. Having reviewed plaintiff's IFP application and supporting documents, the Court orders as follows:

(1) Plaintiff's IFP application, Dkt. No. 69, is DENIED. Plaintiff's IFP application shows that he and his wife receive over \$4,000 per month in various sources of income. Dkt. No. 73. It also shows that they have approximately \$3,200 in costs every month, including payments to more than a half a dozen credit card issuers. *Id.* Although it is not necessary for a litigant to impoverish himself to qualify for IFP status on appeal, he must show something more than mere hardship. *Grisom v. Logan*, 334 F. Supp. 273, 276 (C.D. Cal. 1971). Here, plaintiff's IFP application demonstrates that, while it may be difficult to pay the Court's filing fee, he is not truly indigent.

ORDER DENYING
PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION
TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*

01 (2) The Clerk is further directed to send a copy of this order to plaintiff, counsel
02 for defendants, and to the Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez.

03 DATED this 25th day of July, 2005.

04 
05 JAMES P. DONOHUE
06 United States Magistrate Judge
07
08
09
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

ORDER DENYING
PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION
TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*